

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,291	NOBLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(2) Michael J. Shea (Reg. No. 34,725).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09/13/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 52

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

There were several inconsistencies in the applicant's amended material, mainly an inconsistent use of the phrase "shape-space". Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the minor problems, as seen in the amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)